ANNUAL REPORT TO NC-140

2002 Apple Rootstock Trial

November, 2003 -- Kentville, Nova Scotia

Wesley R. Autio

Data Collection & Transmission

Data were submitted for all 11 sites this year (Table 1). Nearly all were sent via email, and all were translated easily. Characteristics of this trial are given in Table 2.

Data submitted for 2003 should include the number of flower clusters per tree, the number of root sucker per tree, trunk circumference in October, and tree status in October. Please see Table 3 for the protocol for data submission. Record all data as described in this table, and send it to Wes Autio on disk or via email (preferred) in spreadsheet format by January 15, 2004.

For 2004, please follow the protocol on page 2 for tree management and data collection.

Location, Rootstocks, and Tree Numbers

The 2002 NC-140 Apple Rootstock Trial includes 20 rootstocks and 11 sites. Nine of the rootstocks are planted at 10 sites, forming the core of the trial and a complete matrix of data (Table 4). Additional rootstocks occur at 8 sites (OH has only a partial set of rootstocks). Only 2002 trunk

Table 1.Cooperating sites in the2002 NC-140 Apple Rootstock Trial.

Arkansas British Columbia Chihuahua Illinois Indiana Kentucky Massachusetts Michigan New Jersey New York Ohio cross-sectional area was analyzed this year. The overall analysis included only the core data, and additional analyses were performed on all rootstocks by site.



Table 2. Characteristics of the 2002 NC-140 Apple Rootstock Trial. All trees are spaced $2.5 \times 4.5m$, supported, and trained to a vertical axis system.

B.9 Europe 11 B.9 Treco 11 CG.3007 2 CG.3041 2 CG.5935 2 G.11 1 JM.1 3 JM.2 3 JM.7 3 M.9 Burgmer 756 10 M.9 Nic 29 10 M.9 NAKBT337 11 M.26 EMLA 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	Rootstock	Number of sites
B.9 Europe 11 B.9 Treco 11 CG.3007 2 CG.3041 2 CG.5935 2 G.11 1 JM.1 3 JM.2 3 JM.7 3 M.9 Burgmer 756 10 M.9 Nic 29 10 M.9 NAKBT337 11 M.26 EMLA 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10		<u> </u>
B.9 Treco 11 CG.3007 2 CG.3041 2 CG.5935 2 G.11 1 JM.1 3 JM.2 3 JM.7 3 M.9 Burgmer 756 10 M.9 Nic 29 10 M.9 NAKBT337 11 M.26 EMLA 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	B.9 Europe	11
CG.3007 2 CG.3041 2 CG.5935 2 G.11 1 JM.1 3 JM.2 3 JM.7 3 M.9 Burgmer 756 10 M.9 Nic 29 10 M.9 NAKBT337 11 M.26 EMLA 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	B.9 Treco	11
CG.3041 2 CG.5935 2 G.11 1 JM.1 3 JM.2 3 JM.7 3 M.9 Burgmer 756 10 M.9 Nic 29 10 M.9 NAKBT337 11 M.26 EMLA 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	CG.3007	2
CG.5935 2 G.11 1 JM.1 3 JM.2 3 JM.7 3 M.9 Burgmer 756 10 M.9 Nic 29 10 M.9 NAKBT337 11 M.26 EMLA 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	CG.3041	2
G.11 1 JM.1 3 JM.2 3 JM.7 3 M.9 Burgmer 756 10 M.9 Nic 29 10 M.9 NAKBT337 11 M.26 EMLA 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	CG.5935	2
JM.1 3 JM.2 3 JM.7 3 M.9 Burgmer 756 10 M.9 Nic 29 10 M.9 NAKBT337 11 M.26 EMLA 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	G.11	1
JM.2 3 JM.7 3 M.9 Burgmer 756 10 M.9 Nic 29 10 M.9 NAKBT337 11 M.26 EMLA 10 M.26 NAKB 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	JM.1	3
JM.73M.9 Burgmer 75610M.9 Nic 2910M.9 NAKBT33711M.26 EMLA10M.26 NAKB10PiAu 36-21PiAu 51-45PiAu 51-115PiAu 56-831P.1411Supporter 410	JM.2	3
M.9 Burgmer 756 10 M.9 Nic 29 10 M.9 NAKBT337 11 M.26 EMLA 10 M.26 NAKB 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	JM.7	3
M.9 Nic 29 10 M.9 NAKBT337 11 M.26 EMLA 10 M.26 NAKB 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	M.9 Burgmer 756	10
M.9 NAKBT337 11 M.26 EMLA 10 M.26 NAKB 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	M.9 Nic 29	10
M.26 EMLA 10 M.26 NAKB 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	M.9 NAKBT337	11
M.26 NAKB 10 PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	M.26 EMLA	10
PiAu 36-2 1 PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	M.26 NAKB	10
PiAu 51-4 5 PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	PiAu 36-2	1
PiAu 51-11 5 PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	PiAu 51-4	5
PiAu 56-83 1 P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	PiAu 51-11	5
P.14 11 Supporter 4 10	PiAu 56-83	1
Supporter 4 10	P.14	11
	Supporter 4	10

Overall Rootstock Effects

This report presents data from the 2002 (first) growing season. Over all sites in the core data set, rootstock significantly affected trunk cross-sectional area after one season (Table 5). Specifically, M.26 NAKB resulted in the largest trees, followed by P.14. The smallest trees were on B.9 Europe, M.9 NAKBT337, B.9 Treco, and M.9 Burgmer 756. After one season, M.26 NAKB resulted in larger trees than did M.26 EMLA, and B.9 Europe and B.9 Treco resulted in similar TCA. Of the M.9 strains, trees on M.9 Nic 29 were significantly larger than those on either M.9 NAKBT337 or M.9 Burgmer 756.

Send 2003 data via email by January 15, 2004 to:

Wesley R. Autio (autio@pssci.umass.edu)

Protocol for 2004

Tree management.

- A. Trees must be supported and trained as vertical axes.
- Β. Hand thin fruit as necessary.
- C. Manage pests, nutrients, and water per local recommendations. Pay attention to weed control in this trial.

Collect the follow data for each tree in 2004.

- A. Bloom: the number of flower clusters per tree.
- B. Root suckers: the number removed and counted, August.
- C. Yield: weight (0.1 kg) of all fruit per tree at harvest.
- D. Fruit weight: estimate average fruit weight (g) with a sample of at least 50 fruit (if available).
- E. Tree size: trunk circumference 25 cm above the graft union (mm), October.
- F. Status: 0=dead, 1=alive, and 2=missing data, October.

Table 3. Protocol for the submission of data collected in 2003. Submit data on disk (Wesley Autio, Department of Plant & Soil Sciences, 205 Bowditch Hall, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003-9294) or via email (preferred) (autio@pssci.umass.edu) by January 15, 2004.

ROOT	REP	STATUS 2=MISS DATA* 1=ALIVE 0=DEAD	NUMBER OF FLOWER CLUSTERS PER TREE	NUMBER OF ROOT SUCKERS	FALL TRUNK CIRC (mm)	
B.9Europe	1	Х	Х	Х	x	
B.9Europe	2	Х	Х	Х	Х	
B.9Europe	3	Х	Х	Х	Х	
					•	
Supp.4	4	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Supp.4	5	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Supp.4	6	Х	Х	Х	Х	

When a data point is missing, insert a period in that cell, but do not replace zeros with periods.

REQUIRED DATA FORMAT: Lotus 1-2-3, Excel, or Quatro Pro

Appropriate Rootstock Codes: (do not include spaces in the rootstock name)

B.9Europe	G.11	M.9Nic29	PiAu51-4
B.9Treco	JM.1	M.9T337	PiAu51-11
CG.3007	JM.2	M.26EMLA	PiAu56-83
CG.3041	JM.7	M.26NAKB	P.14
CG.5935	M.9B756	PiAu36-2	Supp.4
CG.5935	M.9B756	PiAu36-2	Supp.4

Table 4. Number of trees distributed across rootstock and site in the 2002 NC-140 Apple Rootstock Trial. Framed area represents the consistent core of rootstocks and sites. These were used for the overall analyses presented in this report.

Rootstock	AR	BC	IL	IN	KY	MA	MI	MX	NJ	NY	ОН
B.9 Europe	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	7	7	6
B.9 Treco	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
M.9 Burgmer 756	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	4	7	7	
M.9 Nic 29	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	7	7	
M.9 NAKBT337	7	6	7	7	7	7	7	5	7	7	6
M.26 EMLA	7	6	7	7	7	7	7	4	7	7	
M.26 NAKB	7	6	7	7	7	7	7	5	7	7	
P.14	7	4	7	7	7	7	7	2	7	7	7
Supporter 4	7	5	7	7	7	7	7	2	7	7	
CG.3007								4		7	-
CG.3041								5		7	
CG.5935								5		5	
G.11								5			
JM.1		2								6	5
JM.2		4								6	7
JM.7		3								6	6
PiAu 36-2										2	
PiAu 51-4				6		6	6		6	4	
PiAu 51-11				5		5	6		6	6	
PiAu 56-83										6	

Table 5. **Trunk cross-sectional area** (cm²) of trees at the end of the 2002 growing season in the 2002 NC-140 Apple Rootstock Trial. All values are least-squares means, adjusted for missing observations.^z

Rootstock	AR	BC	IL	IN	KY	MA	MI	MX	NJ	NY	ОН	Mean ^y
B.9 Europe	5.6 a	2.1 bcd	2.0 b	1.3 a	2.5 c	1.3 bcd	2.9 c	2.6 a	3.2 e	1.6 a	1.5 a	2.5 d
B.9 Treco	5.2 a	2.3 abcd	2.2 ab	1.5 a	2.9 bc	1.4 abcd	2.5 c	3.3 a	3.8 cde	1.8 a	1.7 a	2.7 cd
M.9 Burgmer 756	6.8 a	1.7 d	1.9 b	1.4 a	2.7 c	1.3 bcd	2.3 c	2.9 a	3.7 de	1.6 a		2.6 cd
M.9 Nic 29	7.7 a	2.5 abc	2.4 ab	1.8 a	3.3 abc	1.8 ab	2.8 c	3.0 a	4.0 cde	1.9 a		3.1 b
M.9 NAKBT337	6.4 a	1.9 cd	1.6 b	1.4 a	2.8 c	1.1 cd	2.5 c	2.2 a	3.5 de	1.5 a	1.4 a	2.5 d
M.26 EMLA	6.5 a	2.5 abc	2.2 ab	1.5 a	4.1 a	1.6 abc	3.4 bc	3.4 a	4.2 bcd	1.5 a		3.1 b
M.26 NAKB	6.4 a	2.7 ab	2.8 a	1.9 a	4.3 a	1.9 a	4.4 ab	4.0 a	5.1 ab	1.8 a		3.5 a
P.14	6.2 a	2.4 abcd	2.3 ab	1.6 a	4.1 ab	1.6 abc	3.0 bc	4.4 a	4.7 abc	1.8 a	1.2 a	3.2 ab
Supporter 4	6.2 a	1.9 bcd	2.0 b	1.5 a	3.4 abc	1.4 abcd	3.1 bc	3.3 a	4.3 bcd	1.8 a		2.9 bc
CG.3007				_			_	2.2 a		1.9 a		
CG.3041								3.0 a		1.4 a		
CG.5935								2.7 a		1.0 a		
G.11								2.3 a				
JM.1		1.6 d								1.8 a	1.3 a	
JM.2		3.0 a								2.0 a	2.0 a	
JM.7		1.9 d								2.0 a	1.6 a	
PiAu 36-2										0.7 a		
PiAu 51-4				1.7 a		1.8 ab	5.1 a		5.6 a	2.1 a		
PiAu 51-11				1.2 a		0.8 e	2.7 c		3.4 de	1.1 a		
PiAu 56-83										1.2 a		
Mean ^y	6.3 a	2.2 d	2.1 d	1.5 d	3.3 bc	1.5 d	3.0 c	3.3 c	4.1 b	1.7 d		

^zMean separation among rootstock means, among site means, and among rootstock means within site by Tukey's HSD (P = 0.05).

^yRow means were calculated for sites to the left of the vertical line, and column means were calculated for rootstocks above the horizontal line. The interaction of rootstock and site (to the left of the vertical line and above the horizontal line) was significant.